Exploring Tools for Interpretable Machine Learning

Dr. Juan Orduz

PyData Global 2021



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Outline

Introduction

Data Set ([7])

Models Fit ([9])

Model Explainability ([7], [5]) Model Specific Beta Coefficients and Weight Effects Tree ensembles Model Agnostic PDP and ICE Plots Permutation Importance SHAP

References



Introduction

Aim and Scope of the Talk

We want to test explore various techniques to get a better understanding on how machine learning (ML) models generate predictions and how features interact with each other.

Important!

- Domain knowledge on the problem.
- Understanding on the input data.
- Understanding the logic behind the ML algorithms.



Introduction

Aim and Scope of the Talk

We want to test explore various techniques to get a better understanding on how machine learning (ML) models generate predictions and how features interact with each other.

Important!

- Domain knowledge on the problem.
- Understanding on the input data.
- Understanding the logic behind the ML algorithms.

How? We are going to work out a concrete example.

References

This talk is based on my blog post ([9]), which itself is based on these two amazing references:

- Interpretable Machine Learning, A Guide for Making Black Box Models Explainable by Christoph Molnar ([7])
- Interpretable Machine Learning with Python by Serg Masís ([5])

Remark: Interpretable ML \neq Causality (see [2], [3], [6] and [8])



э.

Target Variable - cnt: Daily Bike Rents

	season		mnth	holiday	weekday	workingday	weathersit	temp	hum	windspeed	cnt	days_since_2011
0	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	SAT	NO WORKING DAY	MISTY	8.175849	80.5833	10.749882	985	
1	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	SUN	NO WORKING DAY	MISTY	9.083466	69.6087	16.652113	801	
2	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	MON	WORKING DAY	GOOD	1.229108	43.7273	16.636703	1349	
з	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY		WORKING DAY	GOOD	1.400000	59.0435	10.739832	1562	
4	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	WED	WORKING DAY	GOOD	2.666979	43.6957	12.522300	1600	
5	WINTER		JAN	NO HOLIDAY		WORKING DAY	GOOD	1.604356	51.8261	6.000868	1606	
6	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	FRI	WORKING DAY	MISTY	1.236534	49.8696	11.304642	1510	
7	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	SAT	NO WORKING DAY	MISTY	-0.245000	53.5833	17.875868	959	
8	WINTER	2011	JAN	NO HOLIDAY	SUN	NO WORKING DAY	GOOD	-1.498349	43.4167	24.250650	822	
9	WINTER		JAN	NO HOLIDAY	MON	WORKING DAY	GOOD	-0.910849	48.2917	14.958889		

cnt: Target Variable





◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Continuous Regressors





□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ● ● ●

Categorical Regressors



PyData Global

Train-Test Split



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

Models

Two model flavours



Figure 1: Linear model Lasso + second order polynomial interactions ([10]).

	GridSea	rchCV
tre	e_feature_engin	eering: Pipeline
tree	_preprocessor:	ColumnTransformer
	cat	remainder
	OneHotEncoder	passthrough
	XGBRear	essor
	AGBREGI	essor

Figure 2: Tree based model XGBoost regression model ([1]).



= √Q (~

A B > A B > A B >

Out of sample performance - Errors Distribution





ㅁㅏヾ@;▶ヾㄹゝヾㄹゝ ゠゠

Out of sample performance - Predictions





(日)

ъ

500

β coefficients

See [7, Section 5.1]

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + \varepsilon$$
, where $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

linear_features	coef	abs_coef_
<pre>0 weathersit_RAIN/SNOW/STORM</pre>	-1392.098957	1392.098957
1 mnth_JUL	983.547361	983.547361
2 mnth_JUL temp	-925.255563	925.255563
3 season_SUMMER temp	-833.410963	833.410963
4 season_WINTER	-645.437011	645.437011
5 mnth_APR temp	554.073583	554.073583
6 mnth_JUN temp	-522.243657	522.243657
7 season_SUMMER	508.974043	508.974043
8 season_WINTER mnth_MAR	483.987658	483.987658
9 temp	438.628918	438.628918
10 season_WINTER weathersit_GOOD	-413.142154	413.142154
11 holiday_NO HOLIDAY weathersit_GOOD	380.804849	380.804849
12 mnth_JUN weathersit_GOOD	375.611725	375.611725
13 season_WINTER temp	373.476690	373.476690
14 weathersit_MISTY temp	366.752970	366.752970
15 days_since_2011 yr	348.500690	348.500690
16 mnth_MAR temp	322.106230	322.106230
17 season_SPRING days_since_2011	319.606243	319.606243
18 mnth_NOV weathersit_GOOD	-300.470775	300.470775
19 mnth_DEC workingday_NO WORKING DAY	-297.718280	297.718280



Weight Effects $\beta_i x_i$



Figure 3: For each data instance *i* and each feature x_k we compute the product $\beta_k x_k^{(i)}$ to get the weight effect.



(a)

Weight Effects Importance $w_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\beta_k x_k^{(i)}|$



PyDa Glob

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Weight Effects: Temperature (z-transform)



Figure 4: This plot just shows the effect of the linear term *temp* and not the interactions.

Weight Effects: Interactions



Figure 5: We can visualize the interaction between *temp* and *hum* by computing the total weight effect $\beta_{temp}x_{temp} + \beta_{hum}x_{hum} + \beta_{temp \times hum}x_{temp}x_{hum}$.

(日)

Explaining Individual Predictions

Let us see weight effects of the linear model for data observation 284



Figure 6: Left: All weight effects. Right: Weight effects of the linear terms.



Sac

Feature Importance Metrics: XGBoost ([1])

- Gain: improvement in accuracy brought by a feature to the branches it is on.
- Cover: measures the relative quantity of observations concerned by a feature.
- Frequency / Weight: just counts the number of times a feature is used in all generated trees.



Image: A matrix and a matrix



3 1 4 3

Partial Dependence Plot (PDP) & Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) ([7, Section 8.1 & 9.1])

The partial dependence plot shows the marginal effect one or two features have on the predicted outcome of a machine learning model.

For example, given a trained model \hat{f} , we compute for temp = 8

$$\hat{f}_{temp}(temp = 8) = \frac{1}{146} \left(\hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 80, \cdots) + \hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 70, \cdots) + \cdots \right)$$



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Partial Dependence Plot (PDP) & Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) ([7, Section 8.1 & 9.1])

- The partial dependence plot shows the marginal effect one or two features have on the predicted outcome of a machine learning model.
- For example, given a trained model \hat{f} , we compute for temp = 8

$$\hat{f}_{temp}(temp = 8) = \frac{1}{146} \left(\hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 80, \cdots) + \hat{f}(temp = 8, hum = 70, \cdots) + \cdots \right)$$

- Individual conditional expectation (ICE) plot shows one line per instance.
- A PDP is the average of the lines of an ICE plot

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

PDP & ICE Examples (1D)



Single ICE Plot

Figure 7: PDP & ICE plots for some numerical variables for the linear and XGBoost models.



PDP & ICE Examples (2D)







	linear_features	coef	abs_coef_
9	temp	438.628918	438.628918
44	hum	-137.549606	137.549606
58	windspeed	-99.599452	99.599452
90	hum windspeed	-36.603826	36.603826
232	temp hum	-0.000000	0.000000
264	temp windspeed	-0.000000	0.000000





Permutation Importance

See [7, Section 5.1]

Measures the increase in the prediction error of the model after we permuted the feature's values, which breaks the relationship between the feature and the true outcome ([7, Section 8.5]).



Figure 8: The permutation importance for these two models have *days_since_2011* and *temp* on their top 3 ranking, which partially explain the trend and seasonality components respectively (see [7, Figure 8.27]).

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

nac

э

Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where *M* is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.



Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

- For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)
 - Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where *M* is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.
 - Get prediction for each z'. For features not in the coalition we replace their values with random samples from the dataset.
 - ► E.g. for a data instance temp = 15 and hum = 60 we compute the prediction f̂(temp = 15, hum = 60, windspeed = 11) = 4000.

Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where *M* is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.
- Get prediction for each z'. For features not in the coalition we replace their values with random samples from the dataset.
 - ► E.g. for a data instance temp = 15 and hum = 60 we compute the prediction f̂(temp = 15, hum = 60, windspeed = 11) = 4000.
- Compute the weight for each z', with the SHAP kernel,

$$\pi_{x}(z') = \frac{(M-1)}{\binom{M}{|z'|}|z'|(M-|z'|)}$$

• $M = 3, |z'| = 2 \Rightarrow \pi = (3-1)/(3 \times 2 \times (3-2)) = 1/3.$

Definition, see [4], and [5, Chapters 5 & 6] and [7, Section 9.6]

For each data instance x (e.g. temp=15, hum=60, windspeed=14)

- Sample coalitions $z' \in \{0, 1\}^M$, where *M* is the maximum coalition size.
 - ► Assume we select *temp* and *hum* from {*temp*, *hum*, *windspeed*}.
- Get prediction for each z'. For features not in the coalition we replace their values with random samples from the dataset.
 - ► E.g. for a data instance temp = 15 and hum = 60 we compute the prediction f̂(temp = 15, hum = 60, windspeed = 11) = 4000.
- Compute the weight for each z', with the SHAP kernel,

$$\pi_{x}(z') = rac{(M-1)}{\binom{M}{|z'|}|z'|(M-|z'|)}$$

• $M = 3, |z'| = 2 \Rightarrow \pi = (3 - 1)/(3 \times 2 \times (3 - 2)) = 1/3.$

Fit weighted linear model and return Shapley values, i.e. the coefficients from the linear model. In this example 4000 = φ₀ + ¹/₃φ_{temp} + ¹/₃φ_{hum} + ε.

SHAP Values



Figure 9: SHAP values per data instance. The *x* position of the dot is determined by the SHAP value of that feature, and dots "pile up" along each feature row to show density. Color is used to display the original value of a feature ([4]).

(a)

Mean Abs SHAP Values





Sac

ъ

イロト イロト イヨト

SHAP Values: Temperature



Figure 10: This figure shows the SHAP values as a function of temperature. Compare with Figure 7



SHAP Values: Observation 284



Figure 11: This *waterfall plot* shows how the SHAP values of each feature move the model output from our prior expectation under the background data distribution, to the final model prediction given the evidence of all the features ([4]). Compare with Figure 6.

(日)

References I

[1] Tianqi Chen and Carlos Guestrin.

XGBoost: A scalable tree boosting system.

In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '16, pages 785–794, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM.

[2] Scott Cunningham.

Causal Inference: The Mixtape. Yale University Press, 2021.

[3] Scott Lundberg.

Be careful when interpreting predictive models in search of causal insights.

https://towardsdatascience.com/ be-careful-when-interpreting-predictive-models-in-search-of-causa May 2021.

[4] Scott M Lundberg and Su-In Lee.

A unified approach to interpreting model predictions.

In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 4765–4774. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.



(日)

References II

Serg Masís. [5]

Interpretable Machine Learning with Python. Packrat, 2021. https://github.com/PacktPublishing/ Interpretable-Machine-Learning-with-Python.

[6] **Bichard McElreath**

Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan, 2nd Edition.

CRC Press, 2 edition, 2020.

Christoph Molnar. [7]

> Interpretable Machine Learning. 2019.

https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book/.

[8] Christoph Molnar, Giuseppe Casalicchio, and Bernd Bischl.

Interpretable machine learning – a brief history, state-of-the-art and challenges, 2020.

[9] Juan Orduz.

Exploring tools for interpretable machine learning.

https://juanitorduz.github.io/interpretable_ml/, Jul 2021.



э.

・ロ ・ ・ 同 ・ ・ 回 ・ ・ 日 ・

References III

[10] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg, J. Vanderplas, A. Passos, D. Cournapeau, M. Brucher, M. Perrot, and E. Duchesnay. Criticia learning in Protect.

Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python.

Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12:2825–2830, 2011.



Thank You!

Contact

- A https://juanitorduz.github.io
- O github.com/juanitorduz
- juanitorduz
- juanitorduz@gmail.com



